Solar Thermal Treatment of Non-Metallic Minerals: the Potential Application of the SOLPART Technology

Jan Baeyens^{1,2}, Huili Zhang², Weibin Kong², Philippe Dumont³, Gilles Flamant^{4,*}

¹ European Powder and Process Technology, Tremelo, Belgium

² Beijing University of Chemical Technology, School of Life Science and Technology, Beijing, China
 ³ New Lime Development, Villers-le-Bouilet, Belgium
 ⁴ PROMES-CNRS, Centre National de Recherche Scientifique Odeillo (Font Romeu), France

a) <u>EuroPPT@gmail.com</u>, b) <u>zhhl@mail.buct.edu.cn</u>, c) <u>kongweibin2012@gmail.com</u>, d) <u>ph.dumont@forlime.be</u>, e) Corresponding author: <u>Gilles.Flamant@promes.cnrs.fr</u>

Abstract. The solar horizontal bubbling fluidized bed concept developed within the SOLPART research project can be used as a solar receiver-reactor. Its application offers a considerable industrial potential, as illustrated in the paper further to different experiments and industrial contacts. The most demanding application is the calcination of limestone, either as pure calcite, or as 85% mix in cement raw meal. The decomposition temperature exceeds 850 °C (nearly the application limits of refractory steel alloys). Other thermal treatments (e.g. dolomite, gypsum, phosphate rock, meta-kaolin, clays, etc.) are less demanding since occurring at a lower calcination temperature and with an endothermic reaction heat that is significantly lower than the reaction heat of CaCO₃, which is therefore considered as a relevant test case.

BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

Several minerals require a physical-chemical and thermal treatment prior to their application as feedstock and use in the production of value-added products. The thermal processes are energy-consuming, partly due to the preheating of the minerals to their reaction temperature, but mostly due to the endothermicity of the reaction itself. Limestone is the major mineral to be considered, as feedstock in the lime and cement industries, but also as substantial and dominant compound of dolomite, and the contaminant to be calcined in phosphate ore. The investigated solar decomposition of minerals can be expanded to other products, albeit of secondary importance. Phosphate ore, gypsum, clays, kaolin are among these secondary objectives, as illustrated in Table 1 below.

Tuble 1. Conditions and objectives of dictinar dealifents of alger minerals						
Reaction system	T (°C)	Sensible heat reqd.	Reaction heat	Use		
		kJ/kg of product	kJ/kg of product			
$CaCO_3> CaO +$	850-950	500 to 2000, function	3000-3200	Lime, Cement		
CO_2	f(P _{CO2})	of degree of heat				
		recovery				
$MgCa(CO_3)_2 \longrightarrow$	650-750	See above	2400-2700	Dolomite,		
$MgO.CaO + 2CO_2$	f(P _{CO2})			Dolomitic Lime		
Meta-kaolin	550-900	300 to 500, function of	~700	Pozzolanic additive		
		degree of heat recovery		for cement and		
				lime-mortar		
Phosphate ore	700-1000	Max. 300, function of	Exothermic (combustion	Feed stock for		
		degree of heat recovery	of C-contaminants);	Phosphate Industry		
			Mostly endothermic			
			(calcite calcination)			
Clays, Sands with	600-700	~700	Slightly exothermic by	Ceramics, Pipes,		
organic			combustion of organic	Tiles, Concrete		
contaminants			contaminants			

As shown in Table 1, the processes are energy-intensive, implying the use of significant quantities of fossil fuels. The total consumption energy in the target lime production processes is in the range of 3600 - 5000 kJ/kg of CaO, and in the range of 3100 - 4200 kJ/kg of clinker (cement industry) and represents 40% of the total production cost per ton of cement (dry rotary kiln with cyclonic pre-heaters) and up to 60% in the case of lime kilns⁻¹. If fossil fuels are used, about 40% of the total CO₂ emission from lime industry production relates to the combustion and 60% related to the reaction itself. Substituting fuel by concentrated solar energy may result in up to 40% reduction of CO₂ emission in the lime industry. Since in the cement industry a high temperature sintering (1450 °C) follows the precalcination process, the total reduction is about 20%. In the thermal treatment of phosphate ores and other minerals, the CO₂ emission from fuel combustion is eliminated by using solar energy, representing ~ 6.5 kg CO₂/ton phosphate.

THE TARGET PARTICLES

SOLPART intends to decompose non-metallic minerals by concentrated solar radiation of a fluidized bed reactor through a heat transfer wall. Two different types of particles will be treated, i.e. free-flowing and cohesive particles. This is already evident from the particle size, when the Sauter mean particle size is considered, being $\leq 10 \ \mu m$ for cement raw meal (CRM), 50-130 µm for limestone and dolomite, and < 350 µm for milled phosphate and other ores. The combination of mean particle size and density of most of the minerals and their products (e.g. CaO from CaCO₃) classifies them as Geldart A or B-type powders, easily handled, stored, discharged and conveyed ². CRM is even visually seen as highly cohesive. This cohesiveness was hence examined in detail at temperatures between 20 and 850 °C according to various criteria, being the Geldart classification C/A; the Hausner ratio = $\rho_{tapped}/\rho_{loose}$; the yield stress: consolidation vs. shear; the Angle of Repose (AoR); and the balance of forces. A Hausner ratio in excess of 1.25 is characteristic for a cohesive powder. Results clearly illustrate the difference between CRM and e.g. 58 µm limestone, with values for CRM ranging from 1.25 to 1.38, against 1.05 for limestone. Bulk densities are moreover slightly higher at higher temperature, meaning that the powder is more consolidated, with typical values of 1191 kg/m³(850 °C) and 1078 kg/m³(20 °C) for CRM, and 1560 kg/m³(850 °C) against 1492 kg/m³(20 °C) for 58 µm limestone. The Angle of Repose ³ provides additional information and was determined in an electrical furnace by pouring the powders on a flat plate and measuring the angles of the slopes. Criterion of assessment are: AoR < 30(flowable); AoR 30 - 45 (some cohesiveness); AoR 45 - 55 (true cohesiveness) and AoR > 55 (high cohesiveness, limited flowability). Results are again confirming the cohesiveness of CRM, with AoR increasing from 62-65° at 20 °C, to 70-72 ° at ~850 °C. Limestone values vary from 27 ° at 20 °C to 31 ° at ~800 °C. A total force balance for the powder behaviour can be established, as developed by Baeyens et al.⁴. Whereas the CRM powder will be characterized by very strong Van der Waals cohesive forces, coarser particles such as limestone-lime will show no cohesiveness. The experimental work on the Yield limit assesses the effect of the consolidation on the incipient flow. The flow factor ratio $ff_c = \sigma_1/\sigma_c$ characterizes the flowability of bulk solids, where greater values of the ratio represent a greater flowability of the powder. σ_1 is the normal consolidation stress, whereas σ_c is the stress at failure. The numerical classification according to Schulze ⁵ is the following: $f_c < 1$ (non-flowing); $1 < f_c < 2$ (very cohesive); $2 < ff_c < 4$ (cohesive); $4 < ff_c < 10$ (easy-flowing); and $10 > ff_c$ (free-flowing). Results obtained in a shear cell at different temperatures provide results. With a ff_c \leq 2, CRM is considered very cohesive. Limestone and dolomite have a ff_c > 10, and are freely flowable. It can hence be concluded that limestone/dolomite and other A/Btype minerals pose no problem, since perfectly flowable, whereas CRM is cohesive to very cohesive according to all criteria. Shear is needed (air flow) to reduce the cohesive Van der Waals forces, and this explains the use of a lean phase pre-calciner and aerated CRM storage silos.

REACTION THERMODYNAMICS AND KINETICS

Not only the data at thermodynamic equilibrium are important, the kinetics, i.e. the rate of progress of the reaction needs to be considered, since it must be compatible with the required reactor design. High grade heat is converted into chemical energy by promoting an endothermic reaction of compound A, whereby reaction product B is obtained, and reaction product C (mostly a gas or vapour) is either stored for further re-use or exhausted. An inert gas can be used to help removing components C from the reaction mixture.

Towards **thermodynamics of the systems**, various parameters are important. The amount of heat needed is proportional to the amount of material (m), the endothermic heat of the reaction (ΔH_r) and the conversion (α), given by

 $Q = m\alpha \Delta H_r$, with $\alpha \leq 1$

The total heat to be applied, will exceed ΔH_r , since also the sensible heat of reactant A will need to be supplied between its initial temperature and the reaction temperature, hence with an important influence on the overall heat efficiency. The thermodynamic criteria for the preliminary screening of candidate reactions are assessed from available thermodynamic equilibrium data with two essential parameters.

The first is the "turning" or equilibrium temperature, T_{eq} , defined as the temperature at which neither forward nor reverse reactions are thermodynamically favored. T_{eq} is derived by applying the definition of the Gibbs' free energy to the condition of T_{eq} .

$$\Delta G(T_{eq}, P) = 0 \tag{2}$$

where ΔG is the Gibbs' free energy change for the reaction, simplified as $\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S$, in this case

 $T_{eq} = \frac{\Delta H(T_{eq}, P)}{\Delta S(T_{eq}, P)}$

with ΔH and ΔS respectively the system enthalpy and entropy at pressure P and temperature T_{eq}. The reaction entropy is positive for the decomposition, and of equal value but negative for the reverse reaction.

The second screening parameter is the heat of reaction ΔH_r , expressed in kJ/kg of reactant, and determined by the free energy of formation and the Kirchhoff's law. From the calculations, Table 2 illustrates both screening parameters for the selected reaction pairs.

Reaction $A \leftrightarrow B + C (+D)$	C _p (of A) [kJ/kgK]	T _{eq} (P=1 atm) [°C]	ΔH_r at T_{eq} [kJ/kg of A]
$CaCO_3 \leftrightarrow CaO + CO_2$	0.8227+0.000497T- 12,858.72/T ²	839	1703
$MgCO_3 \leftrightarrow MgO+CO_2$	0.8387	303	1126
$CaMg(CO_3)_2 \leftrightarrow CaO + MgO + 2CO_2$	0.92	490	868

Table 2: Possible reaction pairs

For cement raw meal, as a mixture of ~85% of limestone, and 15% of alumina-silicates, the specific heat is about 1.05 kJ/kgK. The equilibrium temperature is ~839 K, and the reaction heat is ~1659 kJ/kg.

In the lower ranges of partial CO₂ pressures, as often representative of the calcination reactions where reaction gases or vapours are vented to the atmosphere, the effect of pressure-induced changes of T_{eq} is significant, even of the order of 100 K at very low values of P. T_{eq} remains nearly constant at high partial pressures.

For conversion, both **reaction kinetics and residence time** in the reactor environment need to be assessed. For operation with a continuous feed of solids, the exit stream consists of particles of different ages and degrees of conversion. The average conversion of this stream is thus dependent upon (i) the rate of reaction of single particles in the reactor environment, and (ii) the residence time distribution of solids in the reactor.

The conversion of solids can be controlled by heat diffusion (shrinking core approach) or by a uniform reaction throughout the particle. Real situations lie somewhere between both extremes. According to the step that is rate-controlling, different kinetic expressions are obtained, as detailed by Kunii and Levenspiel⁶. The conversion equations are usually expressed in terms of a characteristic time τ , the time to completely convert an unreacted particle into product. For fine particles ($\leq ~200 \mu m$), diffusion is not important, and the reaction rate controls the reaction kinetics^{7–10}. Since the decomposition must proceed against combustion gases and the CO₂ generated by the decomposition, the decomposition time τ will increase and will approach ∞ for conditions of temperature where the equilibrium pressure P_{EQ} is lower than the real partial CO₂ pressure of the calcination environment. It is therefore necessary to apply a correction coefficient so that:

$$\tau' = K_C \tau \text{ with } K_C = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{P_{CO_2}}{P_{EQ}}}$$
(4)

Most of the data are moreover established for spherical particles. In practice, no limestone or mineral is perfectly spherical and its shape depends largely on the nature of the deposit, the type of crusher used, the sieving etc. The shape of the particle is very important for reaction kinetics and heat transfer. It is to be expected that a flake or a rod

(1)

(3)

will decompose faster than the equivalent volume sphere of limestone and this affects the required time for complete decomposition, τ . In practice, the τ values must be corrected both for operation CO₂ pressure (K_C) and limestone shape (K_S) and result in $\tau^* = K_S K_C \tau$ (5)

W $ihrer^{11}$ indicates shape coefficient K_s as 0.83 for cubes and 0.44 for rods.

Kinetics from Experimental Investigations

The target reactions were examined by thermogravimetry, measuring the weight loss of the sample, recording M_0 , M_t and M_∞ , at the initial measurement time t_0 , and at time t. The conversion α is calculated from $\alpha = (M_0 - M_t)/(M_0 - M_\infty)$ (6)

The reaction rate constant can be derived within the complete time and/or temperature scale, as described in detail for other chemical processes by Brems et al.¹². Since α is the conversion, the relative amount of feed left at any time is (1- α). The assumption is made that the reaction rate constant follows the Arrhenius equation:

$$k = A \exp\left(\frac{-E_A}{RT}\right) \tag{7}$$

In this equation k is the reaction rate constant (is s^{-1} for a first order reaction), A the pre-exponential factor (same units as k), E_A the activation energy (J/mol), R the universal gas constant (8.314 J/molK) and T the temperature (K).

The rate of degradation, $d\alpha/dt$ is a function of the rate constant k (T-dependent) and a function of conversion $f(\alpha)$ (T-independent).¹²

For a first order reaction, the conversion expression is

$$\ln(1-\alpha) = -kt = -Aexp\left(\frac{E_A}{RT}\right)t$$
(8)

For a second order reaction, the conversion expression is

$$[(1-\alpha)^{1-2}-1] = -Aexp\left(\frac{E_A}{RT}\right)t$$
⁽⁹⁾

Within the various models used, models with an order of 1 or 2 resulted in R^2 -values in excess of 0.994 and 0.923, respectively. Other reaction rates (n= 0.5, > 2) provided R ²values below 0.7. It is hence concluded that the reaction rate expression with order of reaction 1 provides a fair approach to the different reactions. From the first order kinetics, the values of the activation energy and of the pre-exponential factor were determined, and are given in Table 3, allowing the prediction of the kinetic rate constant, k, at any temperature by using the Arrhenius equation. **Table 3**: 1st-order reaction results for different reactions.

Reaction	E _A [kJ/mol]	$A[s^{-1}]$			
$CaMg(CO_3)_2 \leftrightarrow MgO+CaO+2CO_2$	219	1.15×10^{12}			
$CaCO_3 \leftrightarrow CaO + CO_2$	228	7.03×10^7			
Cement raw meal	224	6.53×10^7			

To achieve 95 % of the maximum conversion in a first order reaction, the required reaction time 50 $^{\circ}$ C above T_{eq} indicates that the reactions can be completed for fine particles in less than a minute. This is however tentative only, and pilot/large scale experimentation is certainly required.

MASS AND ENERGY BALANCES

The mass balances determine the feed rate (kg/h) of raw material for the given target production, respectively 5 kg/h in lab-scale, and 30 kg/h in pilot-scale for the SOLPART project. For 5 kg/h of CaO, CaO.MgO and calcined CRM, respectively 9.2 kg/h of CaCO₃, 9.2 kg/h of CaMg(CO₃)₂ and 8.3 kg/h of cement raw mix are required.

Fr e.g. lime, the maximum heat to be supplied includes the sensible heat of the limestone feed, the sensible heat of the fluidization air, and the reaction heat. A total of 17785 kJ/h, or 4.9 kW is required to produce 5 kg/h of CaO. For the pilot scale application, all values are multiplied by 6, leading to a net required heat supply of 29.6 kW.

SELECTED SOLAR REACTOR TECHNOLOGY

Different type of solar reactor were tested in the framework of the SOLPART project. CNRS and DLR initially tested the upflow bubbling fluidized bed, UBFB,^{13–15} and solar rotary kiln ¹⁶ respectively. Problems of dust formation, difficulties to meet the required residence time in a single pass operation, the effect of high CO₂ partial pressure in the reactor environment, and the cohesiveness of some of the required raw materials were major drawbacks.

A shallow cross-flow bubbling fluidized bed (CfBFB) was hence developed by BUCT, EPPT and CNRS.

Industrial fluidized beds are mostly of the "well-mixed" type. Due to the near perfect mixing, the bed has close to a uniform composition and temperature, equal to the outlet stream in a continuous operation. Fluidization gas also exits the bed at bed temperature. Despite the good mixing of feed particles within the bed, the main disadvantage is the residence time distribution leading to a distribution in product characteristics since about 40% of the particles stay in the bed for less than half of \bar{t} , and about 10% for less than one-tenth of \bar{t} .

Where strict product properties are required, where a temperature gradient from feed to discharge is desired, or where the pressure drop across the bed needs to be limited, the use of continuous cross-flow fluidized beds can be applied. Particles flow along a channel of high length/width radio, and the objective is to approach plug flow of the particles with a near-equal residence time. Straight channel designs are often provided with baffles normal to the direction of particle flow to further enhance plug flow. In addition to having a narrow spread on residence time and product properties, a plug flow bed will normally require a smaller bed volume than a well-mixed bed to achieve the same performance ¹⁷. Shallow beds with low bed pressure drop. The single stage cross-flow fluidized bed is tentatively presented in Figure 1. As in the UBFB case, parasitic losses (pressure drop, amount of sensible heat loss with fluidizing gas), the required high flow rate of fluidization gas, and large size of equipment will be limited by using Geldart group A-powders.

First experiments on lab-scale, using dolomite, gypsum and mete-kaolinite were completed and prove that the required conversion can be obtained in a single pass operation. Results with limestone are ongoing.



Figure 1 Illustration of a cross-flow bubbling fluidized bed: (a) in a solar thermal application ¹⁸ with ① feed of particles, ② horizontal fluidized bed, ③ discharge of particles, ④ multi-orifice air distributors, ⑤ exhaust air.

MARKET PROSPECTS

Calcination of Limestone, Dolomite and CRM

The limestone-lime market outside China is dominated by 2 international groups, i.e. Carmeuse and Lhoist. Whereas Lhoist is mostly active in Europe (limited solar potential), Carmeuse has production facilities in Europe, in the Middle and Far East, in Africa, in the USA and in South America. Carmeuse offers several options for joint development of the technology in Italy (Carrara), Turkey (4 plants), Oman, Florida, and Colombia. An initial study for a 40 ton/day plant for both limestone and dolomite was conducted for the Carmeuse Soma-site in Turkey, where the solar irradiance pattern is excellent outside the winter season. The study demonstrated that a hybrid solar-

biomass kiln offers a high potential. Investments were estimated, with costs of $1.9 \text{ M} \in$ (Heliostats and solar tower), $1.3 \text{ M} \in$ (Calcination reactor, cooling and preheating) and $0.65 \text{ M} \in$ (Site layout, utilities). The calculated production cost of quicklime was about 30% lower than the cost of fossil-fuel produced product. The payback period was less than 5 years. Additional contacts were meanwhile also made with other lime producers in Spain, Algeria, and South Africa. It should also be remembered that Cemex is part of the SOLPART consortium, and their plant in Alicante (Spain) has been selected for the industrial demonstration. No contacts outside Cemex were made.

Phosphate Calcination

L'Office Cheriflen des Phosphates (OCP) is also an associate partner in the SOLPART project. The application of solar energy seems a valid alternative since major phosphate producing countries also experience high values of DNI, Morocco being an example. OCP samples were analyzed. The average particle size is 172 µm. Heat requirements and reaction kinetics were determined by TGA and DSC. Recorded weight losses were 0.9 % around 100 °C through moisture evaporation, 2.08% between 420 and 510 °C as a result of burning C-contaminant; and 7.32% between 620 and 900 °C from the decarbonation reactions. The combustion of C generated ~ 180 kJ/kg phosphate. Preheating phosphates and fluidization air to reaction temperature consumes 719 kJ/kg phosphate. The heat for de-carbonation is 263 kJ/kg phosphate. The net heat is hence 802 kJ/kg phosphate. The required treatment time is ~45 minutes. Since SOLPART reactors operate at air velocities <0.05 m/s against the current ~1m/s, the heat required to preheat the air is negligible. Phosphate feed stock will be preheated by SOLPART reactor exhausts. The solar treatment of raw phosphate will therefore require a solar energy input of maximum 300 kJ/kg phosphate, a reduction by nearly 65% in comparison with the current solution. Considering the annual average DNI-values and the duration of solar irradiance for a typical day, two alternatives are being studied: either a solar reactor of ~40 MWth operated during the daytime at 480 ton/hr, or a continuous bybrid 17 MWth reactor (fossil fuel for nighttime and sun-lean days). Both solutions will significantly reduce the fossil fuel consumption and result in a reduced CO₂ emission of 72,000 to 84,000 ton/year. Considering both the required investment of a 40 MWth receiver-reactor, and the costs of currently consumed fuel oil and electricity, the payback period is estimated at 3 to 4.5 years.

Other Minerals

Additional experiments were conducted and industrial contacts were made with various manufacturers (clays, sand, meta-kaolin, cristobalite). With reserves of high purity clays in Europe being slowly depleted, alternative clay resources need to be exploited and imported. In analogy with the phosphate rock, these deposits contain carbonaceous impurities that need to be eliminated by thermal treatment prior to applying the clays in fabricating pipes, tiles and similar products. Since target supplies investigated are from North Africa, the use of solar calcination offers a potential for a clean and energy-friendly process. Tests are currently performed to investigate this process (650 - 750 C), and will be separately reported upon . Meta-kaolin needs de-hydroxilation (removal of chemically bound water) at a temperature of 500 to 650 °C, when the aluminosilicate structure becomes amorphous or weakly crystalline and develops a pozzolanic reactivity (possible use in grouts as a blend with lime or dolomitic lime, in pozzolanic cements and mortars, etc. Due to current shortages of high quality sand in Europe, new resources need to be developed. The Sahara desert offers this possibility, with the aim of replacing up to 15% of the total amount of sand in concrete products by desert sand. Different components like silt, clay, as well as various salts or sulfur compounds are present and have a harmful effect on concrete. A thermal pre-treatment of the desert sands is required, and the use of a solar thermal kiln is investigated. Finally, NLD developed a specific hybrid solar system for calcining and sintering dolomite. Initial contacts were made with BCB (Algeria) for a possible 300 t/day hybrid process.

CONCLUSIONS

The solar horizontal bubbling fluidized bed concept developed within the SOLPART research project can be used as a solar receiver-reactor. The SOLPART project paves the route to applications of solar heat at up to 900 $^{\circ}$ C in the mineral industry. The challenges address a multidisciplinary domain that involves particle technology, chemical

engineering and solar engineering. This application offers a considerable industrial potential, as illustrated in the paper. The most demanding application is the calcination of limestone, either as pure calcite, or as 85% mix in cement raw meal. Other thermal treatments (e.g. dolomite, gypsum, phosphate rock, meta-kaolin, clays, etc.) are less demanding since occurring at a lower temperature and with an endothermic reaction heat that is significantly lower than the reaction heat of CaCO₃, which is therefore considered as a relevant test case.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded both by the Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Soft Matter Science and Engineering of the Beijing University of Chemical Technology and by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement 654633, project SOLPART.

REFERENCES

- (1) Zhang, H.; Dewil, R.; Degrève, J.; Baeyens, J. The Design of Cyclonic Pre-Heaters in Suspension Cement Kilns. *Int. J. Sustain. Eng.* **2014**, *7* (4), 307.
- (2) Geldart, D. Types of Gas Fluidization. *Powder Technol.* 1973, 7 (5), 285.
- (3) Geldart, D.; Abdullah, E. C.; Verlinden, A. Characterisation of Dry Powders. *Powder Technol.* **2009**, *190* (1–2), 70.
- (4) Baeyens, J.; Geldart, D.; Wu, S. Y. Elutriation of Fines from Gas Fluidized Beds of Geldart A-Type Powders—effect of Adding Superfines. *Powder Technol.* **1992**, *71* (1), 71.
- (5) Schulze D. Powders and Bulk Solids Behavior, Characterization, Storage and Flow. Springer 2008.
- (6) Kunii, D.; Levenspiel, O. *Fluidization Engineering*; Butterworth-Heinemann: Newton-MA (USA), 1991.
- (7) Van de Velden, M.; Baeyens, J.; Boukis, I. Modeling CFB Biomass Pyrolysis Reactors. *Biomass and Bioenergy* **2008**, *32* (2), 128.
- (8) Baeyens, J.; Cuvelier, P.; Geldart, D. The Development, Design and Operation of a Fluidized Bed Limestone Calciner. *ZEMENT-KALK-GIPS* **1989**, *12*, 620.
- (9) Vosteen, B. Die Physikalische Und Chemische Kinetik Der Thermischen Zersetzung von Kalk, Technische Hochschule Carolo-Wilhelmina zu Braunschweig, 1970.
- (10) Mikulčić, H.; Vujanović, M.; Duić, N. Improving the Sustainability of Cement Production by Using Numerical Simulation of Limestone Thermal Degradation and Pulverized Coal Combustion in a Cement Calciner. J. Clean. Prod. 2015, 88, 262.
- (11) Wuhrer, J. Wissenschaftliche Und Verfahrenstechnische Probleme Des Kalkbrennens. *Chemie Ing. Tech.* **1958**, *30* (1), 19.
- (12) Brems, A.; Baeyens, J.; Beerlandt, J.; Dewil, R. Thermogravimetric Pyrolysis of Waste Polyethylene-Terephthalate and Polystyrene: A Critical Assessment of Kinetics Modelling. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 2011, 55 (8), 772.
- (13) Zhang, H.; Benoit, H.; Perez-Lopez, I.; Flamant, G.; Tan, T.; Baeyens, J. High-Efficency Solar Power Towers Using Particle Suspensions as Heat Carrier and Thermal Energy Storage. *Renew. Energy* 2017, 111, 438.
- (14) Flamant, G.; Gauthier, D.; Benoit, H.; Sans, J.-L.; Garcia, R.; Boissi àre, B.; Ansart, R.; Hemati, M. Dense Suspension of Solid Particles as a New Heat Transfer Fluid for Concentrated Solar Thermal Plants: On-Sun Proof of Concept. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 2013, *102*, 567.
- (15) Zhang, H.; Kong, W.; Tan, T.; Flamant, G.; Baeyens, J. Experiments Support an Improved Model for Particle Transport in Fluidized Beds. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7* (1), 10178.
- (16) Tescari, S.; Neises, M.; de Oliveira, L.; Roeb, M.; Sattler, C.; Neveu, P. Thermal Model for the Optimization of a Solar Rotary Kiln to Be Used as High Temperature Thermochemical Reactor. *Sol. Energy* 2013, 95, 279.
- (17) Kong, W.; Baeyens, J.; Li, S.; Ke, H.; Tan, T.; Zhang, H. Solids Mixing in a Shallow Cress-Flow Bubbling Fluidized Bed. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **2018**, *187*, 213-222.
- (18) Flamant, G.; Baeyens, J.; Zhang, H. Device for Collecting Solar Energy, European Patent EP17306005 (Pending); Filed in 2017.